

## 3 Separation axioms

---

### 3.1 $T_0$ , $T_1$ , $T_2$ topological spaces

**Definition 3.1** ( $T_0$ ,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  separation axioms).

A topological space is called

1.  $T_0$ , if any two distinct points at least one of them has a neighbourhood that is not a neighbourhood of the other, or equivalently there is an open set that one point belongs to but the other point does not.
2.  $T_1$  if any two distinct points are separated, that is if each of them has a neighbourhood that is not a neighbourhood of the other;
3.  $T_2$  if any two distinct points are separated by neighbourhoods, that is if they have disjoint neighbourhoods.

**Remark 3.2.**

The  $T_2$  topological space is also called *Hausdorff* topological space.

### Remark 3.3.

The  $T_1$  property is stronger than the  $T_0$  property, that is a  $T_1$  topological space is necessarily satisfying  $T_0$ . Similarly, the  $T_2$  property is stronger than the  $T_1$  property, that is a  $T_2$  topological space is necessarily  $T_1$ .

### Exercise 3.4 (Examples).

1. Show that the indiscrete topology on a set with cardinality greater than 1 is not a  $T_0$  topology.
2. Let  $E$  be a set with cardinality at least two and let  $x_0 \in E$  be a fixed point. Then we consider:  $\mathcal{O} = \{H \mid x_0 \notin H\} \cup \{E\}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{O}$  is a  $T_0$  but not  $T_1$  topology on  $E$ .
3.  $T_1$  but not  $T_2$  topology:  
Let  $E$  be an infinite set and  $\mathcal{O}$  the co-finite topology. Show that  $\mathcal{O}$  is a  $T_1$  but not  $T_2$  topology on  $E$ .
4. Show that any metrizable topology is  $T_2$ .

**Exercise 3.5** (Characterization of  $T_0$  topologies).

A topology is  $T_0$  if and only if for any distinct points their closures are different.

*Indication.*

- Let  $(E, \mathcal{O})$  be a  $T_0$  topological space and  $x \neq y$ . Then there exists an open set  $U$  which contains one but not the other. For the sake of concreteness, let say that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$ . Therefore  $y \in E \setminus U$ , which is a closed set, therefore  $\overline{\{y\}} \subset E \setminus U$  and  $x \in E \setminus \overline{\{y\}}$ , therefore  $\overline{\{x\}} \neq \overline{\{y\}}$ .
- For the converse, let us suppose that we have the property that the closure of different points are different, that is

$$x \neq y \implies \overline{\{x\}} \neq \overline{\{y\}}.$$

If  $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$  and  $y \in \overline{\{x\}}$  are both true, then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x \in \overline{\{y\}} \implies \overline{\{x\}} \subset \overline{\{y\}} \\ y \in \overline{\{x\}} \implies \overline{\{y\}} \subset \overline{\{x\}} \end{array} \right\} \implies \overline{\{x\}} = \overline{\{y\}}$$

It follows that either  $x \notin \overline{\{y\}}$  or  $y \notin \overline{\{x\}}$ . Let say we have  $x \notin \overline{\{y\}}$ . Then  $E \setminus \overline{\{y\}}$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x$  which does not contain  $y$ .

□

**Exercise 3.6** (Characterization of  $T_1$  topologies).

A topology is  $T_1$  if and only if every single-point set is a closed set.

*Indication.*

- Let  $(E, \mathcal{O})$  be a  $T_1$  topological space and  $x \in E$ . Because of the  $T_1$  property, for any  $y \neq x$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $U_y$  of  $y$  such that  $x \notin U_y$ . Then  $F_y := E \setminus U_y$  is closed and contains  $x$ . Moreover

$$\bigcap \{ F_y \mid y \in E \setminus \{x\} \} = \{x\},$$

and

$$\{x\} \subset \overline{\{x\}} \subset \bigcap \{ F_y \mid y \neq x \} = \{x\}$$

showing that  $\overline{\{x\}} = \{x\}$ , that is a one point set  $\{x\}$  is closed.

- For the converse, let us suppose that every single-point set is a closed set. If  $x \neq y$ , then  $E \setminus \{x\}$  is an open neighbourhood of  $y$  and  $E \setminus \{y\}$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x$ .



## 3.2 $T_3$ and regular topological spaces

---

**Definition 3.7** ( $T_3$  topology).

A topology is  $T_3$  if, given any closed set  $F$  and any point  $x$  that does not belong to  $F$ , there exists an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  and an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $F$  that are disjoint. Concisely put, it must be possible to separate  $x$  and  $F$  with disjoint open neighborhoods.

**Proposition 3.8** (Characterization of  $T_3$  topology).

The topological space  $(E, \mathcal{O})$  is  $T_3$  if and only if for any  $x \in E$  and  $x \in V \in \mathcal{O}$  there exists  $U \in \mathcal{O}$ , such that  $x \in U \subset \bar{U} \subset V$ .

*Proof.*

- Let  $E$  a  $T_3$  topological space,  $x \in E$  and  $x \in V \in \mathcal{O}$ . From  $T_3$  there exist  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  disjoint open sets such that  $x \in U_1$  and  $E - V \subset U_2$ . It follows

$U_1 \subset E - U_2 \subset V$ . The set  $E \setminus U_2$  is closed, we have

$$x \in U_1 \subset \overline{U_1} \subset E - U_2 \subset V,$$

since from  $F \subset U_2$  we have  $E \setminus U_2 \subset E \setminus F = V$ .

- Conversely, let us suppose now that the property of the proposition is satisfied. If  $x \in E$  and  $F$  is a closed set such that  $x \notin F$ , then  $x \in E - F \in \mathcal{O}$  and by the hypotheses there exists an open set  $U$ , such that  $x \in U \subset \overline{U} \subset E - F$ . Then  $U$  and  $E \setminus \overline{U}$  are disjoint open sets, and  $x \in U$ ,  $F \subset E - \overline{U}$ .

□

**Remark 3.9** ( $T_3 \not\Rightarrow T_0$ ).

From the property  $T_3$  does not follow the property  $T_0$  (and therefore neither the property  $T_1$ , nor the property  $T_2$ ): see Example 3.10.

**Example 3.10.**

Let us consider the set  $E = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\mathcal{O} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}$  is a topology on  $E$ , and we have the particular property that any open set is also closed. One can verify that the  $T_3$  property is trivially satisfied. However, the

topology  $\mathcal{O}$  does not satisfy the  $T_0$  property, since  $b$  and  $c$  cannot be separated as required for the  $T_0$  property.

**Proposition 3.11.**

If a topology satisfies both the  $T_0$  and  $T_3$  properties, then it also satisfies the  $T_2$  property, that is

$$T_0 \text{ and } T_3 \implies T_2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(E, \mathcal{O})$  be a topology satisfying both the  $T_0$  and  $T_3$  properties. From the  $T_0$  if  $x$  and  $y$  are different points, then at least one of them has a neighbourhood that is not a neighbourhood of the other. For the seek of the argument let  $x$  be a point having a neighbourhood  $V$  not containing  $y$ . From the  $T_3$  property there exists  $U \in \mathcal{O}_x$ , such that  $\bar{U} \subset V$  is satisfied. Then  $U_x = U$  and  $U_y := V \setminus \bar{U}$ , are disjoint open neighbourhood of  $x \in E$  and  $y \in E$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.12** ( $T_1$  and  $T_3$ )  $\implies T_2$ ).

As a corollary of Proposition 3.11 we get  $T_1$  and  $T_3$  together ensure the property  $T_2$ .



**Remark 3.13** ( $T_2 \not\Rightarrow T_3$ ).

The property  $T_2$  does not imply the property  $T_3$ . In order to show this, we consider the following example: on  $\mathbb{R}$  we consider the set

$$K = \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A} \doteq \{U \subset \mathbb{R} \mid U \in \mathcal{O}_0 \text{ or } U = U' \setminus K \text{ for some } U' \in \mathcal{O}_0\}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is the natural topology of  $\mathbb{R}$  and we consider the coarser topology  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{O}$ , i.e. the coarser topology in which the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are open sets. Then, by the construction, this topology contains the elements of the natural topology  $\mathcal{O}_0$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , therefore it is satisfying the  $T_2$  property. Moreover,  $Z$  is closed, since  $\mathcal{A}$  (and therefore also  $\mathcal{O}$ ) contains  $\mathbb{R} \setminus Z$ , that is the complementary set of  $Z$ . One can remark that one can consider as a local basis for  $\mathcal{O}$ , one can choose

$$\mathcal{B}(x) = \begin{cases} \{U \mid \text{where } U \in \mathcal{O}_T(x)\} & \text{if } x \neq 0; \\ \{U_K \mid U_K = U \setminus K \text{ where } U \in \mathcal{O}_T(0)\} & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

One can show that it is impossible to separate the set  $Z$  and the point  $0$  with disjoint open sets of  $\mathcal{O}$ , therefore  $\mathcal{O}$  does not satisfy the property  $T_3$ .

**Definition 3.14** (Regular topology).

A topology called regular if it satisfies  $T_1$  and  $T_3$  properties.

**Remark 3.15.**

Using 3.11, any regular topological space satisfies  $T_2$ . One can show however, that there are non-regular topological spaces satisfying the  $T_2$  property.

**Property 3.16.**

Metrizable topology is regular.

*Proof.* Indeed, let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a metrizable topology on  $E$ . If  $x \in U$  where  $U \in \mathcal{O}$ , then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $x \in B(x, \varepsilon)$ , and it follows that

$$x \in B(x, \varepsilon/2) \subset \overline{B(x, \varepsilon/2)} \subset B(x, \varepsilon) \subset U.$$

According to Proposition 3.8, the topology satisfies the  $T_3$  property. □

### 3.3 $T_{3\alpha}$ and completely regular topological spaces

---

**Definition 3.17** ( $T_{3\alpha}$  property).

A topological space  $(E, \mathcal{O})$  is called regular or  $T_{3\alpha}$  if for every closed subset  $C$  of  $E$  and every point  $x$  in  $E$  such that  $x \notin C$  there exists a continuous function  $f : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  and  $f(C) = 1$ .

**Exercise 3.18.**

Show that every  $T_{3\alpha}$  topology is also  $T_3$ .

*Hint:* For  $x \in E$  and closed  $C$  ( $x \notin C$ ) consider the sets  $U_1 = f^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{2}))$  and  $U_2 = f^{-1}((\frac{1}{2}, 1]) \dots$  □

**Definition 3.19** (Completely regular topology).

A topology is called completely regular if it satisfies the  $T_1$  and  $T_{3\alpha}$  properties.

## 3.4 $T_4$ and normal topological spaces

---

**Definition 3.20** ( $T_4$  topology).

A topological space  $(E, \mathcal{O})$  is called  $T_4$  space if for any every two disjoint closed sets  $A$  and  $B$  have disjoint open neighborhoods, i.e. there exist  $U, V \in \mathcal{O}$  disjoint open sets, such that  $A \subset U$  and  $B \subset V$ .

**Remark 3.21** ( $T_2 \not\Rightarrow T_4$ ).

From the property  $T_4$  does not follow the property  $T_0$  (and therefore neither the property  $T_1$ , nor the property  $T_2$ ): the topology presented in Example 3.10 satisfies  $T_4$  but not the  $T_0$  property.

**Definition 3.22** (Normal topology).

A topological space  $(E, \mathcal{O})$  called normal if its topology satisfies the  $T_1$  and  $T_4$  properties.

**Example 3.23.**

Hausdorff separable compact topological space are normal spaces (see Chapter 6: Theorem 6.6, Proposition 6.7).

### Exercise 3.24.

Metrizable topological spaces are normal spaces.

*Hint.* Show that if  $A$  and  $B$  are closed disjoint sets, then the function

$$f(x) = \frac{d(x, A)}{d(x, A) + d(x, B)}$$

is continuous and separates the  $A$  and  $B$  sets. □

### Proposition 3.25.

Show that

1. normal spaces are completely regular, that is  $(T_1 + T_4) \Rightarrow (T_1 + T_{3a})$ .
2. normal spaces are Hausdorff separable, that is  $(T_1 + T_4) \Rightarrow T_2$ .

### Theorem 3.26 (Urysohn's lemma).

If a topological space  $(E, \mathcal{O})$  satisfies the  $T_4$  property, then any two disjoint

closed sets  $A$  and  $B$  can be separated by a continuous function, that is there exists a continuous function  $f : E \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(a) = 0$  for  $a \in A$  and  $f(b) = 1$  if  $b \in B$ .

**Remark 3.27.**

Urysohn's Lemma shows the rather surprising fact that being able to separate closed sets from one another with a continuous function is not stronger than being able to separate them with open sets.

**Exercise 3.28.**

Show that  $T_0, T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4$  are topological properties, that is invariant with respect to a homeomorphism.