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# 1. Network Modeling module. Transportation Problem type 2. Problems 

## 1. Network Modeling module. Transportation Problem type

The Network Modeling module, Transportation Problem type, from the product software WinQSB, is used to solve, in a conversational system, transportation problems. Its main features are the following:

- It accepts the problem data in tabular form with a predefined structure or in graphical form.
- It displays iteration results in tabular or graphical form.
- It displays the solution of the problem in tabular or graphical form.
- It performs what ... if ... analysis and parametric
solution analysis.
- The file with the data problem is saved with the .net extension.
Running the Network Modeling module displays the main window of the module:


Fig.1. The main window of the Network Modeling module
The New Problem command from the File menu or a mouse click on the button 国 displays the Net Problem

## Specification dialog box (Fig.2). It contains fields to be filled in/selected by the user.



Fig.2. Net Problem Specification dialog box
A click on the command button $\mathbf{O K}$ in the dialog box

## displays the working window, presented in Fig.3:



Fig.3. The working window of the module, Transportation type At the top of the working window are:
$>$ Menu bar, which contains the menus: File, Edit, Format, Solve and Analyze, Results, Utilities, Window, WinQSB, Help.
$>$ Toolbars for certain submenus.
$>B a r$ with the name of the problem.
$>$ Bar indicating the current box.
The menu Edit are illustrated in Fig.4.
With the command Switch to Graphic Model from the menu Format, it switches from the matrix form to the graphic form of a problem.

In the graphic form, the initial working window of a transportation problem is illustrated in the Fig.5. Changing the location of a node can be done by a right-click on


Fig.4. Edit menu
the node symbol and pressing the button it moves the node by dragging to the new location.

With the command Switch to Matrix Form that appeared in the menu Format, it returns from the graphic form to the matrix form.


Fig.5. Initial working window in graphic form

The menu Solve and Analyze contains commands (Fig.6) related to solving a transportation problem. The command Select Initial Solution Method displays a dialog box (Fig.7) with the eight methods for determining an initial solution for problem, that are implemented in the program WinQSB.


Fig.6. Solve and Analyze menu

| Transportation Simplex Initial Solution Method |  | x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O Row Minimum [RM] | OK |  |
| O Modified Row Minimum [(MRM) |  |  |
| O Column Minimum [CM] | Solve |  |
| O Modified Column Minimum [MCM] |  |  |
| O Northwest Corner Method [ $\mathrm{NW} / \mathrm{C}$ ] | Cancel |  |
| O Matrix Minimum [MM] | Cancel |  |
| O Vogel's Approximation Method [VAM] |  |  |
| O Russell's Approximation Method [RAM] | Help |  |

## Fig.7. Transportation Simplex Initial Solution Method dialog box

The menu Results, which is displayed in the results window after solving the problem, contains the commands illustrated in the Fig.8. It should be noted that the optimal solution of the problem is not influenced by the choice of a certain method from those mentioned.


Fig.8. Results menu
To solve a transportation problem using the Network Modeling module, Transportation problem type, the following steps must be completed:

1. Identifying the type of problem.
2. Select the command New Problem and complete the
fields in the NET Problem Specification dialog box.
3. Entering the data of the problem.
4. Save the data with the command Save Problem As.
5. Solve the problem with a command from the menu Solve and Analyze.
6. Display the obtained results with a command from the menu Results.

## 2. Problems

## Problem 1.

$\sum$ The warehouses $D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}$ supply the consumers $C_{1}$, $C_{2}, C_{3}, C_{4}$ with a certain product. The quantities available in warehouses $a_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 3)$, the quantities needed by consumers $b_{j}(j=1, \ldots, 4)$ and the unit transportation costs of the product $c_{i j}$ are presented in the Table 1.
a) Determine the quantities to be transported from each warehouse to each consumer so that the total cost of transporting is minimal.
b) Perform the parametric analysis for the following cases: $a_{2} \in[20,95] ; b_{4} \in[25,90] ; c_{31} \in[1,10]$.

Table 1

| Warehouses | Consumers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{4}$ | Supply |
|  | 6 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 100 |
| $D_{2}$ | 3 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 70 |
| $D_{3}$ | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 80 |
| Demand | 75 | 40 | 75 | 60 | - |

a) This minimization transportation problem is balanced because:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{4} b_{j}=250 \mathrm{u}
$$

The Network Modeling module is called for its solving. It selects the command New Problem and it

## completes the NET Problem Specification dialog box as

 in the Fig.9.

Fig.9. NET Problem Specification dialog box
Then click the command button $\mathbf{O K}$. The data of the
problem in tabular form is presented in the Fig.10.

| From \ To | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | Supply |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| D1 | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| D2 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ |
| D3 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{8 0}$ |
| Demand | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fig.10. The data of the problem in tabular form
The table with the optimal solution of the problem, obtained in the results window with the command Solve the Problem after a single iteration, is presented in the Fig.11. The column Shipment contains the optimal values of the (basic) variables, i.e. the quantities of product to be transported from warehouses to consumers. The transportation costs corresponding for these quantities are indicated in the column Total Cost.

| 09-30-2011 | From | To | Shipment | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Reduced Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | D1 | C3 | 75 | 3 | 225 | 0 |
| 2 | D1 | C4 | 25 | 5 | 125 | 0 |
| 3 | D2 | C1 | 70 | 3 | 210 | 0 |
| 4 | D3 | C1 | 5 | 4 | 20 | 0 |
| 5 | D3 | C2 | 40 | 3 | 120 | 0 |
| 6 | D3 | C4 | 35 | 5 | 175 | 0 |
|  | Total | Objective | Function | Value $=$ | 875 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fig.11. Optimal solution of the problem in tabular form
The minimum value of the total cost of transporting is equal to 875 m.u.

The command Range of Optimality from the menu Results returns the table in the Fig.12. The columns Allowable Min. Cost and Allowable Max. Cost contain the minimum allowable value, respectively, the maximum allowable value of the unit transportation costs, for which the above optimal solution do not change.

| 12-14-2011 <br> $11: 45: 21$ | From | To | Unit <br> Cost | Reduced <br> Cost | Basis <br> Status | Allowable <br> Min. Cost | Allowable <br> Max. Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | D1 | C1 | 6 | 2 | at bound | 4 | M |
| 2 | D1 | C2 | 4 | 1 | at bound | 3 | M |
| 3 | D1 | C3 | 3 | 0 | basic | 0 | 4 |
| 4 | D1 | C4 | 5 | 0 | basic | 5 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 5 | D2 | C1 | 3 | 0 | basic | -M | 4 |
| 6 | D2 | C2 | 7 | 5 | at bound | 2 | M |
| 7 | D2 | C3 | 6 | 4 | at bound | 2 | M |
| 8 | D2 | C4 | 7 | 3 | at bound | 4 | M |
| 9 | D3 | C1 | 4 | 0 | basic | 3 | 6 |
| 10 | D3 | C2 | 3 | 0 | basic | 0 | 4 |
| 11 | D3 | C3 | 4 | 1 | at bound | 3 | M |
| 12 | D3 | C4 | 5 | 0 | basic | 4 | 5 |

Fig.12. Table returned by the command Range of Optimality
The command Range of Feasibility returns the table in Fig.13. The values of the variables of the dual problem are given in the column Shadow Price. The columns Allowable Min. Value and Allowable Max. Value contains the minimum possible value, respectively, the maximum possible value for the available / required quantities of the

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 12-14-2011 \\ 11: 54: 55 \end{array}$ | Node | Supply | Demand | Shadow Price | Allowable Min. Value | Allowable Max. Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | D1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | M |
| 2 | D2 | 70 | 0 | -1 | 70 | 75 |
| 3 | D3 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 105 |
| 4 | C1 | 0 | 75 | 4 | 70 | 75 |
| 5 | C2 | 0 | 40 | 3 | 15 | 40 |
| 6 | C3 | 0 | 75 | 3 | 0 | 75 |
| 7 | C4 | 0 | 60 | 5 | 35 | 60 |

Fig.13. Table returned by the command Range of Feasibility
product. If a quantity $a_{i}$ or $b_{j}$ takes another value in that range, different from the one in the problem statement, then the optimal value of the total shipping cost (875) changes with the product result between the value in the column Shadow Price and the difference between the new value and the one in the statement of the quantity $a_{i}$ or $b_{j}$. Obviously, if the corresponding shadow price is 0 , then the value of the total shipping cost does not change.
b) To perform the parametric analysis, after solving the problem, it calls the command Perform Parametric Analysis from the menu Results, which displays the Parametric Analysis dialog box.

For $a_{2} \in[20,95]$, it fills the Parametric Analysis dia$\log$ box, in the way illustrated in the Fig.14. A click on the OK button returns the result of the parametric analysis in tabular form (see Fig.15). The graphic image of the parametric analysis (see Fig.16) is obtained with the command Show Parametric Analysis - Graphic.

For $b_{4} \in[25,90]$, it fills the Parametric Analysis dialog box, in the way illustrated in the Fig.17. The result of the parametric analysis in graphic format is presented


Fig.14. Parametric Analysis dialog box for $a_{2} \in[20,95]$

| $12-15-2011$ | D2 Supply/Demand | OBJ Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20 | 690 |
| 2 | 25 | 705 |
| 3 | 30 | 720 |
| 4 | 35 | 735 |
| 5 | 40 | 755 |
| 6 | 45 | 775 |
| 7 | 50 | 795 |
| 8 | 55 | 815 |
| 9 | 60 | 835 |
| 10 | 65 | 855 |
| 11 | 70 | 875 |
| 12 | 75 | 870 |
| 13 | 80 | 870 |
| 14 | 85 | 870 |
| 15 | 90 | 870 |
| 16 | 95 | 870 |
|  |  |  |

Fig.15. The result of the parametric analysis, in tabular form, for $a_{2} \in[20,95]$


Fig.16. The result of the parametric analysis, in graphic form, for $a_{2} \in[20,95]$


Fig.17. Parametric Analysis dialog box for $b_{4} \in[25,90]$

## in the Fig. 18:



Fig.18. The result of the parametric analysis, in graphic form, for $b_{4} \in[25,90]$

## For $c_{31} \in[1,10]$, it fills the Parametric Analysis

 dialog box, in the way illustrated in the Fig.19:

Fig.19. Parametric Analysis dialog box for $c_{31} \in[1,10]$

The result of the parametric analysis in graphic format is presented in the Fig.20:


Fig.20. The result of the parametric analysis, in graphic form, for $c_{31} \in[1,10]$

## Problem 2

\& Solving the minimum total cost transportation problem whose data are summarized in the Table 3.

Table 3

|  | Consumers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Warehouses | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{4}$ | Supply |
| $D_{1}$ | 6 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 150 |
| $D_{2}$ | 7 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 200 |
| $D_{3}$ | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 180 |
| $D_{4}$ | 5 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 120 |
| Demand | 160 | 140 | 190 | 160 | - |

$\square$ This minimization transportation problem is balanced.
The module Network Modeling is called for solving
the problem.
After completing the NET Problem Specification dialog box, the data are entered in tabular form in the initial window:

| From \ To | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 150 |
| D2 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 200 |
| D3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 180 |
| D4 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 120 |
| Demand | 160 | 140 | 190 | 160 |  |

Fig.21. The data of the problem in tabular form
Proceeding as in the Problem 1, the command Solve the Problem returns the optimal solution, in tabular form (Fig.22): $x^{1}=\left(x_{12}=80\right.$ u., $x_{13}=70$ u., $x_{21}=160$ u., $x_{24}=$ $=40$ u., $x_{32}=60$ u., $x_{34}=120$ u., $x_{43}=120$ u.). The total cost of transportation is equal to $3000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{u}$.

| 12-15-2011 | From | To | Shipment | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Reduced Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | D1 | C2 | 80 | 3 | 240 | 0 |
| 2 | D1 | C3 | 70 | 2 | 140 | 0 |
| 3 | D2 | C1 | 160 | 7 | 1120 | 0 |
| 4 | D2 | C4 | 40 | 9 | 360 | 0 |
| 5 | D3 | C2 | 60 | 3 | 180 | 0 |
| 6 | D3 | C4 | 120 | 5 | 600 | 0 |
| 7 | D4 | C3 | 120 | 3 | 360 | 0 |
|  | Total | Objective | Function | Value $=$ | 3000 |  |

Fig.22. The optimal solution $x^{1}$ in tabular form
The problem supports multiple solutions because, after obtaining the above solution, the command Obtain Alternative Solution appears in the menu Solve and Analyze. This command returns another optimal solution, in tabular form (Fig.23): $x^{2}=\left(x_{13}=70\right.$ u., $x_{14}=80$ u., $x_{21}=$ $=160$ u., $x_{24}=40$ u., $x_{32}=140$ u., $x_{34}=40$ u., $x_{43}=120$ u.). Obvious, the total cost of transportation is equal to 3000 m.u.

| 12-15-2011 | From | To | Shipment | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Reduced Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | D1 | C3 | 70 | 2 | 140 | 0 |
| 2 | D1 | C4 | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | 5 | 400 | 0 |
| 3 | D2 | C1 | 160 | 7 | 1120 | 0 |
| 4 | D2 | C4 | 40 | 9 | 360 | 0 |
| 5 | D3 | C2 | 140 | 3 | 420 | 0 |
| 6 | D3 | C4 | 40 | 5 | 200 | 0 |
| 7 | D4 | C3 | 120 | 3 | $\mathbf{3 6 0}$ | 0 |
|  | Total | Objective | Function | Value $=$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0 0}$ |  |

Fig.23. The optimal solution $x^{2}$ in tabular form
The set of the optimal solutions is given by the convex linear combination:

$$
x=\lambda x^{1}+(1-\lambda) x^{2}, \quad \lambda \in[0,1]
$$

The total transportation cost is equal to $3000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{u}$.

## Problem 3

\& A company specialized in commercialization a certain product on the market has three warehouses $D_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 3)$ and four stores $M_{j}(j=1, \ldots, 4)$. The warehouses have 250 pcs., 400 pcs., respectively, 300 pcs. of the product. The stores can sell at most 230 pcs., 200 pcs., 240 pcs., respectively, 230 pcs. of the product.

The unit profit obtained by the company by selling the product depends on the warehouse from which it is brought and on the store that sells it, according to the Table 4.

Determine the optimal solution that maximizes the total profit of the company resulting from the sale of the product.

|  |  |  |  | Table 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $M_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ | $M_{3}$ | $M_{4}$ |  |  |
| $D_{1}$ | 16 | 14 | 11 | 13 |  |  |
| $D_{2}$ | 12 | 15 | 16 | 14 |  |  |
| $D_{3}$ | 13 | 12 | 14 | 15 |  |  |

$\square$ The statement problem is of transport type, of maximization, unbalanced, with an surplus offer, having $950-900=50$ pcs. of surplus product in warehouses supply compared to stores demand.

The Network Modeling module is called for solving.
When it completes the NET Problem Specification dialog box, in the box Objective Criterion, it selects the Maximization option.

In the initial work window, the data of the are entered in tabular form, as seen in the Fig.24:

| From I To | M1 | M2 | M3 | M4 | Supply |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| D1 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 13 | 250 |
| D2 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 400 |
| D3 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 300 |
| Demand | 230 | 200 | 240 | 230 |  |

Fig.24. The data of the problem in tabular form
Switching to the graphic form (see Fig.25) is performed with the command Switch to Graphic Model from the menu Format.

The optimal solution of the problem is obtained, in tabular form (see Fig.26) with the command Solve the Problem.

The command Graphic Solution from the menu


Fig.25. The data of the problem in graphic form

| 12-16-2011 | From | To | Shipment | Unit Profit | Total Profit | Reduced Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | D1 | M1 | 230 | 16 | 3680 | 0 |
| 2 | D1 | M2 | 20 | 14 | 280 | 0 |
| 3 | D2 | M2 | 180 | 15 | 2700 | 0 |
| 4 | D2 | M3 | 220 | 16 | 3520 | 0 |
| 5 | D3 | M3 | 20 | 14 | 280 | 0 |
| 6 | D3 | M4 | 230 | 15 | 3450 | 0 |
| 7 | D3 | Unused_Supply | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Fig.26. The optimal solution in tabular form

Results transfers the solution of the problem from the tabular form to the graphic form (Fig.27). The surplus of 50 pcs. of product in storage is concentrated in the fictive node, called "Unused_Supply".


Fig.27. The optimal solution in graphic form

## Problem 4

\& The suppliers $D_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 3)$ have a certain product requested by the beneficiaries $C_{j}(j=1, \ldots, 5)$. The available quantities, the necessary quantities and the unit cost of transportation from a supplier to a beneficiary are given in the Table 5.

Table 5

|  | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{4}$ | $C_{5}$ | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{1}$ | 65 | 55 | 40 | 35 | 50 | 340 |
| $D_{2}$ | 40 | 25 | 35 | 50 | 25 | 300 |
| $D_{3}$ | 50 | 40 | 35 | 45 | 45 | 260 |
| Demand | 160 | 190 | 200 | 170 | 180 | - |

a) Determine the optimal product supply plan so that the total cost of transportation is minimal.
b) Establish the supply plan if the unit transport cost $c_{31}$ becomes equal to $60 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{u}$.
c) Solve the problem if the route $\left(D_{2}, C_{2}\right)$ cannot be used for the transport of the product.
d) Solve the problem provided that the quantity $x_{34}=$ $=120 \mathrm{u}$. is transported on the route $\left(D_{3}, C_{4}\right)$.
$\square$ a) The statement problem is of minimization and unbalanced.
The data of the problem are entered in the tabular form

| From \ To | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | Supply |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| D1 | 65 | 55 | 40 | 35 | 50 | 340 |
| D2 | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 25 | 35 | 50 | 25 | 300 |
| D3 | 50 | 40 | 35 | 45 | 45 | 260 |
| Demand | 160 | 190 | 200 | 170 | 180 |  |

Fig.28. The data of the problem in tabular form

The optimal solution of the problem in tabular form is presented in Fig. 30.

| 10-16-2020 | From | To | Shipment | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Reduced Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | D1 | C3 | 170 | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 0 0}$ | 0 |
| 2 | D1 | C4 | 170 | 35 | 5950 | 0 |
| 3 | D2 | C2 | 120 | 25 | 3000 | 0 |
| 4 | D2 | C5 | 180 | 25 | 4500 | 0 |
| 5 | D3 | C1 | 160 | 50 | 8000 | 0 |
| 6 | D3 | C2 | 70 | 40 | 2800 | 0 |
| 7 | D3 | C3 | 30 | 35 | 1050 | 0 |
|  | Total | Objective | Function | Value $=$ | 32100 |  |

Fig.30. The optimal solution of the problem in tabular form
b) The command Perform What If Analysis from the menu Results opens the What If Analysis dialog box, which is completed as in the Fig.31. A click on the OK button displays the new solution (Fig.32). The total transportation cost increases from $32100 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{u}$. at $33100 \mathrm{~m} . u$.


Fig.31. What If Analysis dialog box

| 10-16-2020 | From | To | Shipment | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Reduced Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | D1 | C3 | 170 | 40 | 6800 | 0 |
| 2 | D1 | C4 | 170 | 35 | 5950 | 0 |
| 3 | D2 | C1 | 120 | 40 | 4800 | 0 |
| 4 | D2 | C5 | 180 | 25 | 4500 | 0 |
| 5 | D3 | C1 | 40 | 60 | 2400 | 0 |
| 6 | D3 | C2 | 190 | 40 | 7600 | 0 |
| 7 | D3 | C3 | 30 | 35 | 1050 | 0 |
|  | Total | Objective | Function | Value $=$ | 33100 |  |

Fig.32. The optimal solution of the problem in case b)
c) In this case the transportation problem has a blocked route. In order for that route not to be used, a very high unit cost, $\mathrm{M} \gg 0$, is assigned to the route $\left(D_{2}, C_{2}\right)$ in the table with the input data (see the Fig.33).

The command Solve the Problem returns the optimal solution in tabular form (Fig.34).

| From \ To | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D1 | 65 | 55 | 40 | 35 | 50 | 340 |
| D2 | 40 | M | 35 | 50 | 25 | 300 |
| D3 | 50 | 40 | 35 | 45 | 45 | 260 |
| Demand | 160 | 190 | 200 | 170 | 180 |  |

Fig.33. The data of the problem
with the route ( $D_{2}, C_{2}$ ) blocked

| $10-16-2020$ | From | To | Shipment | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Reduced Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | D1 | C3 | 170 | 40 | 6800 | 0 |
| 2 | D1 | C4 | 170 | 35 | 5950 | 0 |
| 3 | D2 | C1 | 120 | 40 | 4800 | 0 |
| 4 | D2 | C5 | 180 | 25 | 4500 | 0 |
| 5 | D3 | C1 | 40 | 50 | 2000 | 0 |
| 6 | D3 | C2 | 190 | 40 | 7600 | 0 |
| 7 | D3 | C3 | 30 | 35 | 1050 | 0 |

Fig.34. The optimal solution of the problem in case c)
d) In this case we have a transport problem with imposed solution. For the solution it is considered that the transport of the 120 u . on the route ( $D_{3}, C_{4}$ ) was done in advance. Then:

- the supplier $D_{3}$ has the quantity: $260-120=140$ u.;
- the beneficiary $C_{4}$ requests the quantity: 170-120 = $=50 \mathrm{u}$.;
- the route $\left(D_{3}, C_{4}\right)$ is blocked, by the unit cost $c_{34}=M$, with $\mathrm{M} \gg 0$.
The transportation problem thus modified is solved. The data of the problem are entered in tabular form (Fig.35). After solving, the obtained solution is presented in the Fig. 36.

| From \( |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ) To | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | Supply |
| D1 | 65 | 55 | 40 | 35 | 50 | 340 |
| D2 | 40 | 25 | 35 | 50 | 25 | 300 |
| D3 | 50 | 40 | 35 | M | 45 | 140 |
| Demand | 160 | 190 | 200 | 50 | 180 |  |

Fig.35. The data of the modified problem

| $10-16-2020$ | From | To | Shipment | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Reduced Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | D1 | C3 | 200 | 40 | 8000 | 0 |
| 2 | D1 | C4 | 50 | 35 | 1750 | 0 |
| 3 | D1 | C5 | 90 | 50 | 4500 | 0 |
| 4 | D2 | C1 | 20 | 40 | 800 | 0 |
| 5 | D2 | C2 | 190 | 25 | 4750 | 0 |
| 6 | D2 | C5 | 90 | 25 | 2250 | 0 |
| 7 | D3 | C1 | 140 | 50 | 7000 | 0 |

Fig.36. The optimal solution of the problem in case d)
The optimal solution of the problem with imposed solution is given by the previous solution to which is added the imposed component $x_{34}=120 \mathrm{u}$.

Therefore the solution is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{13}=200 \mathrm{u} ., x_{14}=50 \mathrm{u} ., x_{15}=90 \mathrm{u} ., x_{21}=20 \mathrm{u} ., \\
& x_{22}=190 \mathrm{u} ., x_{25}=90 \mathrm{u} ., x_{31}=140 \mathrm{u} ., x_{34}=120 \mathrm{u} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The total transportation cost is:

$$
z=29050+45 \times 120=34450 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{u} .
$$

## Problem 5

\& A company that produces refrigerators has two factories, $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, located in two localities. During a quarter, the factory $F_{1}$ can produce up to 400 pcs , and the factory $F_{2}$ can produce up to 500 pcs.

The refrigerators are sent to two warehouses $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, located in two other localities. The unit transportation costs from the two factories to the warehouses are indicated in the Table 6.

Four sales centers $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{4}$, located in different localities, request in a quarter $230 \mathrm{pcs}, 200 \mathrm{pcs}, 220 \mathrm{pcs}$, respectively, 250 pcs of refrigerators. Thse are sent from the warehouses to the sales centers, with the unit costs indicated in the Table 7.

There is the possibility that some centers will also receive the refrigerators directly from the two factories, with unit transportation costs indicated in the Table 8.

What is the transportation plan for the refrigerators from the factories to the sales centers with the minimum total cost?

| Table 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $D_{1}$ | $D_{2}$ |
| $F_{1}$ | 3 | 4 |
| $F_{2}$ | 3 | 2 |$\quad$|  | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D_{1}$ | 6 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| $D_{2}$ | 5 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Table 8 |  |  |  |  |

$\square$ The statement problem is a transfer problem (transportation problem with intermediate or transit centers).

The available quantity of refrigerators is equal to the required quantity $Q=900$ pcs., so the problem is balanced.

The transfer problem is an extension of the transportation problem. It can be solved on an extended table of the transportation problem; in this case the extended table is presented in the Fig. 37.

The intermediate (transit) centers are the warehouses $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$. Therefore, their available and necessary quantities are equal to $Q=900$ pcs.

| From \ To | D 1 | D 2 | C1 | C 2 | C 3 | C 4 | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F 1 | 3 | 4 | M | 7 | M | 9 | 400 |
| F 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 | M | 8 | M | 500 |
| D 1 | 0 | M | 6 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 900 |
| D 2 | M | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 900 |
| Demand | 900 | 900 | 230 | 200 | 220 | 250 |  |

Fig.38. The extend tabel of the transportation problem
The unit cost of transportation from a warehouse to itself is zero.

The unit costs equal to $M \gg 0$ block those routes from factories to consumption centers, which, according to the data in the statement, cannot be used.

Two optimal solutions, presented in the Fig. 38 and Fig. 39, are obtained.

The routes $(i, i)$ are not considered.

| $-23-2011$ | From | To | Shipment | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Reduced Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | F 1 | D 1 | 220 | 3 | 660 | 0 |
| 2 | F 1 | C 4 | 180 | 9 | 1620 | 0 |
| 3 | F 2 | D 2 | 500 | 2 | 1000 | 0 |
| 4 | D 1 | D 1 | 680 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | D 1 | C 3 | 220 | 2 | 440 | 0 |
| 6 | D 2 | D 2 | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | D 2 | C 1 | 230 | 5 | 1150 | 0 |
| 8 | D 2 | C 2 | 200 | 3 | 600 | 0 |
| 9 | D 2 | C 4 | 70 | 6 | 420 | 0 |
|  | Total | Objective | Function | Value $=$ | 5890 |  |

Fig.38. The optimal first solution of the extended problem

| -23-2011 | From | To | Shipment | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Reduced Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | F 1 | D 1 | 220 | 3 | 660 | 0 |
| 2 | F1 | C 4 | 180 | 9 | 1620 | 0 |
| 3 | F 2 | D 2 | 270 | 2 | 540 | 0 |
| 4 | F 2 | C 1 | 230 | 7 | 1610 | 0 |
| 5 | D 1 | D 1 | 680 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | D 1 | C 3 | 220 | 2 | 440 | 0 |
| 7 | D 2 | D 2 | 630 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | D 2 | C 2 | 200 | 3 | 600 | 0 |
| 9 | D 2 | C 4 | 70 | 6 | 420 | 0 |
|  | Total | Objective | Function | Value $=$ | 5890 |  |

Fig.39. The optimal second solution of the extended problem

The first optimal solution is:
220 pcs. are sent from $F_{1}$ to $D_{1}$;
180 pcs. are directly sent from $F_{1}$ to $C_{4}$;
500 pcs. are sent from $F_{2}$ to $D_{2}$;
220 pcs. are sent from $D_{1}$ to $C_{3}$;
230 pcs. are sent from $D_{2}$ to $C_{1}$;
200 pcs. are sent from $D_{2}$ to $C_{2}$;
70 pcs. are sent from $D_{2}$ to $C_{4}$.
The second optimal solution is:
220 pcs. are sent from $F_{1}$ to $D_{1}$;
180 pcs. are directly sent from $F_{1}$ to $C_{4}$;
270 pcs. are sent from $F_{2}$ to $D_{2}$;
230 pcs. are directly sent from $F_{2}$ to $C_{1}$;
220 pcs. are sent from $D_{1}$ to $C_{3}$;

200 pcs. are sent from $D_{2}$ to $C_{2}$;
70 pcs. are sent from $D_{2}$ to $C_{4}$.
The minimum total cost is equal to 5890 m.u.

## Problem 6

$\mathcal{H}$ Six pumping stations $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{6}$ in a water supply network are connected to each other by a system of pipes. The technical characteristics of the stations and the distances between them imply different costs of transporting of the water between the stations. The piping system and the costs for pumping one $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ on each section are shown in the Fig. 40.

During a certain day, the stations $P_{1}, P_{3}$ and $P_{6}$ have $a_{1}=30 \mathrm{~m}^{3}, a_{3}=30 \mathrm{~m}^{3}, a_{6}=40 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of water, and the stations $P_{2}, P_{4}$ and $P_{5}$ require $b_{2}=32 \mathrm{~m}^{3}, b_{4}=35 \mathrm{~m}^{3}, b_{5}=$ $33 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of water.

Determine a transport plan of the water between the six pumping stations optimal in terms of the required cost.


Fig.40. Pipeline network between pumping stations
$\square$ The statement problem is transfer type. It is considered that each pumping station can be both source and destination. Thus it extends the transfer problem to a minimizing transportation problem with 6 sources and 6 destinations.

The sum of the quantities available from the stations
$P_{1}, P_{3}$ and $P_{6}$ is equal to the sum of the quantities required at the stations $P_{2}, P_{4}$ and $P_{5}$, namely $Q=100 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$, so the problem is balanced.

We will enter the data of the transportation problem in a table (see Fig.41). The routes located on the diagonal of the table have zero unit costs; the blocked routes (between
stations that are not connected by direct pipelines) have unit costs equal to $M$ (where $M \gg 0$ ). The available quantities are equal to $a_{i}+Q(i=1, \ldots, 6)$, and the required

| From \ To | P 1 | P 2 | P 3 | P 4 | P 5 | P6 | Supply |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P 1 | 0 | 5 | M | 6 | M | M | 130 |
| P 2 | M | 0 | 3 | 1 | M | H | 100 |
| P 3 | M | 3 | 0 | M | 4 | 2 | 130 |
| P 4 | 6 | 1 | M | 0 | M | M | 100 |
| P 5 | M | M | 4 | M | 0 | 5 | 100 |
| P 6 | M | 6 | 2 | M | 5 | 0 | 140 |
| Demand | 100 | 132 | 100 | 135 | 133 | 100 |  |

Fig.41. The table of the transportation problem
quantities are equal to $b_{j}+Q(j=1, \ldots, 6)$.
Two optimal solutions are obtained (see Fig. 42 and Fig.43).

The routes $(i, i)$ are not considered.
The first optimal solution is: $x_{14}=30 \mathrm{~m}^{3} ; x_{24}=5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$; $x_{32}=37 \mathrm{~m}^{3} ; x_{63}=7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} ; x_{65}=33 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$.

| -24-2011 | From | To | Shipment | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Reduced Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P1 | P 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | P 1 | P 4 | 30 | 6 | 180 | 0 |
| 3 | P 2 | P 2 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | P 2 | P 4 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 5 | P 3 | P 2 | 37 | 3 | 111 | 0 |
| 6 | P 3 | P 3 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | P 4 | P 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | P 5 | P 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | P6 | P 3 | 7 | 2 | 14 | 0 |
| 10 | P6 | P 5 | 33 | 5 | 165 | 0 |
| 11 | P6 | P6 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | Objective | Function | Value $=$ | 475 |  |

Fig.42. The first optimalsolution of the transportation problem

| -24-2011 | From | To | Shipment | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Reduced Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | P 1 | P1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | P 1 | P 2 | 30 | 5 | 150 | 0 |
| 3 | P 2 | P 2 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | P2 | P 4 | 35 | 1 | 35 | 0 |
| 5 | P3 | P 2 | 37 | 3 | 111 | 0 |
| 6 | P 3 | P 3 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | P 4 | P 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | P 5 | P 5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | P6 | P 3 | 7 | 2 | 14 | 0 |
| 10 | P6 | P 5 | 33 | 5 | 165 | 0 |
| 11 | P6 | P6 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | Objective | Function | Value $=$ | 475 |  |

Fig.43. The second optimalsolution of the transportation problem
The second optimal solution is: $x_{12}=30 \mathrm{~m}^{3} ; x_{24}=35$ $\mathrm{m}^{3} ; x_{32}=37 \mathrm{~m}^{3} ; x_{63}=7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} ; x_{65}=33 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$.

The valueof the total transportation cost is equal to 475 m.u.

