Markov chains and applications

Dr. András Pongrácz

Week 9, University of Debrecen



Absorbing Chains

Markov chains

Pongrácz



A state is absorbing if we stay in it with probability one in the next move.



A state is absorbing if we stay in it with probability one in the next move.

A finite Markov chain is absorbing if, from every initial state, we can reach an absorbing state with positive probability in finitely many steps.



A state is absorbing if we stay in it with probability one in the next move.

A finite Markov chain is absorbing if, from every initial state, we can reach an absorbing state with positive probability in finitely many steps.

The non-absorbing states in such chains are called transient; cf. the last class for a more precise introduction to transient states.

Markov chains





Markov chains

Pongrácz





 $N = (I - Q)^{-1}$ fundamental matrix





 $N = (I - Q)^{-1}$ fundamental matrix

(Given an initial state) what is the expected time to absorption?





 $N = (I - Q)^{-1}$ fundamental matrix

(Given an initial state) what is the expected time to absorption?

(Given an initial state) what is the probability of absorption at each absorbing state?





 $N = (I - Q)^{-1}$ fundamental matrix

(Given an initial state) what is the expected time to absorption? Answer: $N\underline{1}$

(Given an initial state) what is the probability of absorption at each absorbing state? Answer: *NR*

Sketch of arguments



Claim

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}Q^k=0$$

Markov chains

Pongrácz



$$\lim_{k\to\infty} Q^k = 0$$

with an exponential convergence speed (at each position, on the long-run, the moduli of terms are below a given geometric series with quotient 0 < q < 1).



$$\lim_{k\to\infty} Q^k = 0$$

with an exponential convergence speed (at each position, on the long-run, the moduli of terms are below a given geometric series with quotient 0 < q < 1).

Proof: By definition of an absorbing chain, for a large enough *K* we have that the sum of terms in each row of Q^{K} is at most *r* for some 0 < r < 1.



$$\lim_{k\to\infty} Q^k = 0$$

with an exponential convergence speed (at each position, on the long-run, the moduli of terms are below a given geometric series with quotient 0 < q < 1).

Proof: By definition of an absorbing chain, for a large enough K we have that the sum of terms in each row of Q^{K} is at most r for some 0 < r < 1. By Gershgorin's theorem, the spectrum of Q^{K} is in [-r, r].



$$\lim_{k\to\infty} Q^k = 0$$

with an exponential convergence speed (at each position, on the long-run, the moduli of terms are below a given geometric series with quotient 0 < q < 1).

Proof: By definition of an absorbing chain, for a large enough K we have that the sum of terms in each row of Q^K is at most r for some 0 < r < 1. By Gershgorin's theorem, the spectrum of Q^K is in [-r, r]. If $k \ge mK$, the spectrum is in $[-r^m, r^m]$; making any $\sqrt[K]{r} < q < 1$ a good choice.

Corollary I

The fundamental matrix $N = (I - Q)^{-1}$ exists, and equals to $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q^k$.

Markov chains

Pongrácz

Corollary I

The fundamental matrix
$$N = (I - Q)^{-1}$$
 exists, and equals to $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q^k$.

Corollary II

The probability of absorption in a finite number of steps from any initial state is 1.





Thus $N\underline{1} = (I - Q)^{-1}\underline{1} = (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q^k)\underline{1}$ is the expected number of times the walk is in a non-absorbing state.



Thus $N\underline{1} = (I - Q)^{-1}\underline{1} = (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q^k)\underline{1}$ is the expected number of times the walk is in a non-absorbing state.

Alternative proof: we can find a system of linear equations corresponding to the law of total expectation.



Thus $N\underline{1} = (I - Q)^{-1}\underline{1} = (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q^k)\underline{1}$ is the expected number of times the walk is in a non-absorbing state.

Alternative proof: we can find a system of linear equations corresponding to the law of total expectation. It is

$$\underline{x} = Q\underline{x} + \underline{1}$$



Thus $N\underline{1} = (I - Q)^{-1}\underline{1} = (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q^k)\underline{1}$ is the expected number of times the walk is in a non-absorbing state.

Alternative proof: we can find a system of linear equations corresponding to the law of total expectation. It is

$$\underline{x} = Q\underline{x} + \underline{1}$$

yielding $\underline{x} = N\underline{1}$.





If \underline{v} is a vector of numbers assigned to all transient states,



If \underline{v} is a vector of numbers assigned to all transient states, then $N\underline{v}$ is the expectation of the sum of all values of entries during a random walk until absorption.



If \underline{v} is a vector of numbers assigned to all transient states, then $N\underline{v}$ is the expectation of the sum of all values of entries during a random walk until absorption.

The proof is based on the law of total probability. (Cf. the exrecizes.)



Starting from state *i*, what is the probability of absorption is each state?



Starting from state *i*, what is the probability of absorption is each state? The total for all absorbing states is 1.



Starting from state i, what is the probability of absorption is each state? The total for all absorbing states is 1.

Law of total probability: if \underline{x} is the vector of results for all transient states, then

$$\underline{x} = Q\underline{x} + R$$



Starting from state *i*, what is the probability of absorption is each state? The total for all absorbing states is 1.

Law of total probability: if \underline{x} is the vector of results for all transient states, then

$$\underline{x} = Q\underline{x} + R$$

yielding $\underline{x} = NR$.

Higher moments

Markov chains

Pongrácz

Given a random variable X, its moment generating function is

$$t\mapsto E(e^{tX})$$

(wherever it is defined).

Theorem

If the moment generating function is defined in a neighborhood of 0, then

$$\left(\frac{d^n}{dt^n}E(e^{tX})\right)_{t=0}=E(X^n)$$

is the *n*-th moment of *X*.

Mar	LOW	oha	Inc
wa	NUV.	Gila	115

 X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k are the number of steps in the walks starting from each transient state.

 X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k are the number of steps in the walks starting from each transient state.

$$\underline{v}(t) = (E(e^{tX_1}), E(e^{tX_2}), \dots, E(e^{tX_k}))^*$$

 X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k are the number of steps in the walks starting from each transient state.

$$\underline{v}(t) = (E(e^{tX_1}), E(e^{tX_2}), \dots, E(e^{tX_k}))^*$$

We obtain the system of linear equations

$$\underline{v}(t) = e^t (Q \underline{v}(t) + (I - Q) \underline{1})$$

$$(I - e^t Q)\underline{v}(t) = e^t(I - Q)\underline{1}$$

$$(I - e^t Q)\underline{v}(t) = e^t(I - Q)\underline{1}$$

The matrix $(I - e^t Q)$ is singular for only a finite number of values of t.

$$(I - e^t Q)\underline{v}(t) = e^t(I - Q)\underline{1}$$

The matrix $(I - e^t Q)$ is singular for only a finite number of values of t.

$$\underline{v}(t) = (I - e^t Q)^{-1} e^t (I - Q) \underline{1}$$

$$(I - e^t Q)\underline{v}(t) = e^t(I - Q)\underline{1}$$

The matrix $(I - e^t Q)$ is singular for only a finite number of values of t.

$$\underline{v}(t) = (I - e^t Q)^{-1} e^t (I - Q) \underline{1}$$

yielding

$$\underline{v}(t) = e^t (I + e^t Q + e^{2t} Q^2 + e^{3t} Q^3 + \cdots) (I - Q) \underline{1}$$

$$(I - e^t Q)\underline{v}(t) = e^t(I - Q)\underline{1}$$

The matrix $(I - e^t Q)$ is singular for only a finite number of values of t.

$$\underline{v}(t) = (I - e^t Q)^{-1} e^t (I - Q) \underline{1}$$

yielding

$$\underline{v}(t) = e^t (I + e^t Q + e^{2t} Q^2 + e^{3t} Q^3 + \cdots) (I - Q) \underline{1}$$

The first derivative at zero is $\underline{v}'(0) = (I + 2Q + 3Q^2 + 4Q^3 + \cdots)(I - Q)\underline{1} = (I - Q)^{-2}(I - Q)\underline{1} = N\underline{1}.$





Similar calculation, yielding more complicated power series.



Similar calculation, yielding more complicated power series. They are always linear combinations of powers of $(I - Q)^{-m}$, multiplied by $(I - Q)\mathbf{1}$.



Similar calculation, yielding more complicated power series. They are always linear combinations of powers of $(I - Q)^{-m}$, multiplied by $(I - Q)\underline{1}$.

Lemma 1: $((1 - x)^{-1})^{(m)} = n! \cdot (1 - x)^{-(m+1)}$



Similar calculation, yielding more complicated power series. They are always linear combinations of powers of $(I - Q)^{-m}$, multiplied by $(I - Q)\underline{1}$.

Lemma 1: $((1 - x)^{-1})^{(m)} = n! \cdot (1 - x)^{-(m+1)}$ Lemma 2: $((1 - x)^{-1})^{(m)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1) \cdots (j+m) x^j$



Similar calculation, yielding more complicated power series. They are always linear combinations of powers of $(I - Q)^{-m}$, multiplied by $(I - Q)\underline{1}$.

Lemma 1:
$$((1 - x)^{-1})^{(m)} = n! \cdot (1 - x)^{-(m+1)}$$

Lemma 2: $((1 - x)^{-1})^{(m)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1) \cdots (j+m) x^j$

Theorem

 $\underline{v}^{(m)}(0) = f_m(N)\underline{1}$, where $f_m(N)$ is a degree *m* polynomial of *N*, whose constant term is 0 and the main coefficient is *m*!.



Similar calculation, yielding more complicated power series. They are always linear combinations of powers of $(I - Q)^{-m}$, multiplied by $(I - Q)\underline{1}$.

Lemma 1:
$$((1 - x)^{-1})^{(m)} = n! \cdot (1 - x)^{-(m+1)}$$

Lemma 2: $((1 - x)^{-1})^{(m)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j+1) \cdots (j+m) x^j$

Theorem

 $\underline{v}^{(m)}(0) = f_m(N)\underline{1}$, where $f_m(N)$ is a degree *m* polynomial of *N*, whose constant term is 0 and the main coefficient is *m*!.

 $f_1(N) = N$, $f_2(N) = 2N^2 - N$, $f_3(N) = 6N^3 - 6N^2 + N$, $f_4(N) = 24N^4 - 36N^2 + 14N - N$ can be easily computed from the above.

1000	_	-
		100

Markov chains

Pongrácz





1. Show that the fundamental matrix *N* is non-negative.



- 1. Show that the fundamental matrix *N* is non-negative.
- 2. Compute the fundamental matrix, and answer the basic problems for the absorbing chain provided in the talk as an example.



- 1. Show that the fundamental matrix *N* is non-negative.
- 2. Compute the fundamental matrix, and answer the basic problems for the absorbing chain provided in the talk as an example.
- 3. Compute the first four moments of the same chain with the general method presented. Compare the first moment with the result of Problem 1.



- 1. Show that the fundamental matrix *N* is non-negative.
- 2. Compute the fundamental matrix, and answer the basic problems for the absorbing chain provided in the talk as an example.
- 3. Compute the first four moments of the same chain with the general method presented. Compare the first moment with the result of Problem 1.
- 4. Prove the general formula about $N\underline{v}$ being the expected value of the sum of entries on \underline{v} during a walk until absorption.



- 1. Show that the fundamental matrix N is non-negative.
- 2. Compute the fundamental matrix, and answer the basic problems for the absorbing chain provided in the talk as an example.
- 3. Compute the first four moments of the same chain with the general method presented. Compare the first moment with the result of Problem 1.
- 4. Prove the general formula about $N\underline{v}$ being the expected value of the sum of entries on \underline{v} during a walk until absorption.
- 5. Observe that the hypergeometrical distribution is a special absorbing Markov chain with 2 states. Deduce the formula 1/p for the expected value as a special case of the expected runtime of an absorbing Markov chain.